25

26

27

28

1									
2									
3									
4									
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
7									
8									
9	SKYNET ELECTRONIC CO., LTD., No. C 12-06317 WHA								
10	Plaintiff,								
11	v.								
12	FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL, ORDER SETTING DISCOVERY								
13	LTD., and POWER SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES, LTD., HEARING RE PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY DISPUTE								
14	Defendants.								
15	/								
16	In this patent-infringement action, on March 6, plaintiff filed a letter requesting FRCP 37								
17	sanctions for defendants' alleged failure to comply with the stipulated discovery order. This								
18	order sets forth how this alleged dispute will be handled.								
19	* * *								
20	In December 2012, plaintiff Skynet Electronic Co., Ltd. filed this patent-infringement								
21	action. Defendants Flextronics International Ltd. and Power Systems Technologies, Ltd.								
22	answered and asserted counterclaims. The operative March 2013 case management order set								
23	forth the April 30, 2014, fact discovery deadline and the September 8, 2014, jury trial date								
24	(Dkt. No. 42). An October 2012 order denied as promoture defendant's motion for partial								

(Dkt. No. 43). An October 2013 order denied as premature defendant's motion for partial summary judgment and granted leave to file a third amended complaint (Dkt. No. 85).

In October 2013, plaintiff filed the operative third amended complaint alleging infringement of one asserted patent. Defendants answered and asserted three counterclaims: non-infringement, invalidity ("each and every claim thereof, is invalid under 35 U.S.C § 1 et

seq.	, including	without	limitation	§§ 102	, 103,	and/or	112"),	, and	"invalidity	of the	August	14
2012	2 certificate	e of corre	ection" (D	kt. Nos	. 86, 9	90).						

In December 2013, after full briefing and oral argument, defendants' motion to compel was denied (Dkt. No. 106). Four days later, plaintiff filed a discovery letter. The parties then appeared for a discovery hearing. At that time, the parties informed the undersigned judge that they had resolved their disputes and would file a stipulation and proposed order. The stipulation was filed and approved (Dkt. Nos. 111, 112).

* * *

Plaintiff's letter brief states:

Pursuant to Rule 37(b)(2)(A), Skynet requests at least the following sanctions:

- 1) in that Defendants failed to provide discovery relevant to 35 U.S.C. § 103, specifically, secondary considerations, Defendants shall not be permitted to challenge the validity of the '318 patent claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103;
- 2) in that Defendants failed to provide substantive discovery regarding its sales process with Apple and other documents and information related to Apple, to the extent that infringement is otherwise found, Defendants shall be found to have induced Apple to infringe the '318 patent;
- 3) given Defendants' ongoing failure to comply with the terms of the SDO, to the extent the patent is found valid and the products covered by the claims, Defendants shall be found to have directly infringed the '318 patent;
- 4) Skynet's fact discovery shall be extended for two months without any extension for Defendants; and
- 5) attorneys' fees incurred in seeking sanctions and compliance with the SDO shall be awarded to Skynet.

(Dkt. No. 116). The SDO is the stipulated discovery order. Defendants' response is due by 9:00 A.M. ON MARCH 11. The Court SETS a three-hour meet-and-confer starting AT 7:30 A.M. AND CONTINUING TO 10:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MARCH 12, 2014, in the Court's jury room located in the San Francisco courthouse concerning plaintiff's discovery dispute. At 10:30 A.M., the Court shall hold a hearing to resolve any remaining issue(s). Please buzz chambers on March 12 at 7:30 a.m. to be let into the Court's jury room. Only those lawyers who personally participate in the meet-and-confer in the jury room may be heard at the hearing. In the

Case 3:12-cv-06317-WHA Document 117 Filed 03/07/14 Page 3 of 3

meantime,	both sides sho	ould be prepared	to fully brief	these issues	if the under	rsigned judge	finds
it necessary	y at the March	12 hearing.					

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2014.

